

Thank you for your letter of 27 October, 2009. My response is below and attached.

When I recall our past correspondences I feel disappointed and frustrated because my needs for reassurance and for protection of our nation have not been met by you.

Because you have said the science is overwhelming, you have said there is a clear majority of scientists supporting that belief and you have cited as your sole source the UN IPCC, I now need to show the position in which you have placed yourself.

Besides all the evidence I have sent you, the McLean reports cannot be sensibly refuted since they simply present UN IPCC data *provided by the UN IPCC itself*. UN IPCC data on the UN IPCC's processes for producing UN IPCC reports that are the basis of government positions on climate.

The UN IPCC's data shows that contrary to the UN IPCC Chairman implying 4,000 scientists claim human production of carbon dioxide caused Earth's modest global warming, that statistically ended around 2002, the reality is that only a core of maximum sixty (60) UN IPCC reviewers endorsed that claim. And there's doubt they were all scientists.

The data also shows that many 'scientists' were not scientists at all. Included in the list of 'scientists' were personal assistants, web designers, activists. Many of those who did have a science background were recent junior graduates.

And the total number of all those 'scientists' was never 4,000 to begin with.

**Critically though and above all else the UN IPCC's own data shows without doubt that there is not one piece of observed, measured evidence showing humans warmed Earth.**

Now that you are aware of the facts, as provided by analysis of the UN IPCC's own data on its own reports to governments and the media, will you continue to support any Emissions Trading Scheme, Emission Reduction Scheme or any carbon tax?.

Former UN IPCC scientists and expert reviewers now lead the rapidly growing world-wide people's movement exposing UN IPCC falsities and misrepresentations. With each passing day Copenhagen's COP15 is appearing more and more irrelevant. The USA will not support it. China and India will not support it. Third-world leaders support it only because of the promise of billions upon billions of free money over some sort of "climate debt". Ludicrous!

As the UN IPCC is exposed for what it really is, there are increasing calls to hold these people accountable for spreading the myth that humans caused global warming.

What will be your legacy John?

Hans Schreuder  
(contact details known)

Attachments: further information about the futility of climate alarm.

Letter #2: [http://www.tech-know-group/50\\_pages/pdf/John\\_Gummer2\\_10\\_Nov\\_09.pdf](http://www.tech-know-group/50_pages/pdf/John_Gummer2_10_Nov_09.pdf)

USA graphs: [http://www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/pdf/US\\_Oct09\\_temps\\_vs\\_CO2.pdf](http://www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/pdf/US_Oct09_temps_vs_CO2.pdf)

More graphs: [http://www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/pdf/Temp\\_anomalies\\_historical.pdf](http://www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/pdf/Temp_anomalies_historical.pdf)

News: [http://www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/pdf/NZCLIMATE\\_TRUTH\\_NEWSLETTER\\_228.pdf](http://www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/pdf/NZCLIMATE_TRUTH_NEWSLETTER_228.pdf)

COP15: [http://www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/pdf/Copenhagen\\_last\\_chance.pdf](http://www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/pdf/Copenhagen_last_chance.pdf)