

Calling 212 scientists to account

By Norm Kalmanovitch, 26 September 2009

I recently came across conclusive IPCC evidence that human emissions only form a small part of the emissions increase necessary to create the observed increase in atmospheric concentration which clearly falsifies the AGW hypothesis.

This has been posted on <http://climaterealists.com/?id=4059>

It occurred to me that with this irrefutable proof that humans are not the prime source for the increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentration increase, coupled with the fact that the Earth is cooling as emissions continue to rise, that there is the perfect case to discredit the whole climate issue from the direction of science protocol and the fact that this protocol was violated by the Bali Declaration - <http://www.crc.unsw.edu.au/news/2007/Bali.html>.

The Bali Declaration

The fundamental foundation of science is strict adherence to the scientific method which demands that any hypothesis which is deficient in explaining physical observation must be either discarded or modified to the point that all observations are explained. Because of this guiding principle scientific statements are always beyond question.

Unfortunately this makes science the perfect vehicle for the advertising industry to make claims that will sell products. Terms like “studies show” or “in a scientific study...” give the false impression that there is a valid scientific basis to claims made in the advertisement, when in fact the wording is “sufficiently legal” to prevent accusations of false advertising, but there is in fact no actual justification to a scientific standard.

This is essentially the so called “scientific basis” behind the Kyoto Protocol. The Kyoto Protocol is based on two assumptions: firstly that the increase in atmospheric concentration of CO₂ is primarily sourced from CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel consumption, and secondly that this increase in CO₂ concentration has caused 0.6°C of warming in the past hundred years, and will cause catastrophic warming if it is not stopped.

The first assumption is easily proven false by comparing the physical amount of CO₂ increase necessary to cause the observed global increase in atmospheric concentration.

In the IPCC 2001 Third Assessment Report this was done and presented in a table form for the primary greenhouse gases.

In order to cause the observed 2ppmv increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentration, the IPCC computed that there was an overall increase in global CO₂ emissions into the atmosphere of 11,700 million metric tonnes of CO₂ per year. Throughout the 1990's the CO₂ emissions increase from fossil fuel consumption varied from an actual decrease in emissions of 36 million metric tonnes per year from 1997 to 1998, to a maximum increase in emissions of 705 million metric tonnes per year from 1999 to 2000. The average over the time frame was just 265 million metric tonnes per year increase.

Regardless of how you compute the human contribution to the atmospheric concentration of CO₂ it is always less than 1000 million metric tonnes per year, but the calculated emissions necessary to cause the observed increase as calculated by the IPCC is 11,700 million metric tonnes!

Even at one gigatonne per year increase, human emissions can only comprise 8.55% of the global increase in CO₂ emissions making the assumption that fossil fuel consumption is the prime source for the increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentration completely false.

The second assumption that increases in atmospheric concentration can cause catastrophic global warming, is easily shown to be false by quantum physics which shows that the narrow band of energy radiated by the Earth in the range of 14.77microns that can be affected by CO₂ has already been over 90% affected at the current concentration of 386ppmv, and further increases in concentration; even a quadrupling of CO₂ does not have the energy available to it to cause more than a few tenths of a degree C of warming.

A far more simple demonstration that the assumption that increases in CO₂ concentration can cause catastrophic global warming is clearly false, can be found in the global temperature record. Global CO₂ concentration increased throughout the entire 33 year period from 1942 to 1975 when the Earth was cooling, and has continued to increase beyond 2002 when the Earth started to cool again. Since 1942 there have been 41 years of global cooling and only 27 years of no global cooling with increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration increases.

The climate models, and consequentially the Kyoto Protocol, are based on the compounded false assumptions that first of all, CO₂ concentration increases are primarily human sourced, and secondly, the resultant increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentration can cause catastrophic global warming. This has been presented to the public as a statement of scientific fact in complete violation of proper and ethical science protocol.

Accredited scientists are ethically and professionally bound not to make false statements that will misinform the public and lead to inappropriate action. If such a declaration is inadvertently made in the honest belief that such a statement was based on valid data, it is the moral responsibility of every scientist to immediately retract the statement and advise the public both of the error and the source of the error.

Every single accredited scientist in a discipline related to climate science is fully aware of the fact that the Earth has been cooling since 2002 because all of the physical data demonstrates this, and there is absolutely no contradictory evidence that even allows for the possibility of this not being true.

In spite of the fact that the Earth is cooling and has been for nearly eight years already, the political leaders are still pushing for costly measures to arrest global warming based on what they state is a “scientific consensus” that the Earth is warming rapidly as a result of CO₂ emissions from fossil fuels.

If accredited scientists know that the Earth is cooling in spite of the continued rise in atmospheric CO₂ concentration, but the politicians believe that the Earth is warming because of this same rise in the atmospheric concentration, there is either a clear misunderstanding between what the scientists know and what the politicians are led to believe, or there is a clear violation of science ethics and protocol.

Unfortunately the truth is not that simple. Most of the scientists involved have an almost “religious like” belief in the AGW hypothesis that allows them to accept conjecture and ignore contrary physical evidence with the same zeal as those who interpret the bible literally. This zeal is clearly evidenced in the Bali Declaration* that was made in support of the 2007 IPCC Fourth Assessment Report.

The first line of the Bali Declaration states:

“The 2007 IPCC report, compiled by several hundred climate scientists, has unequivocally concluded that our climate is warming rapidly, and that we are now at least 90% certain that this is mostly due to human activities”.

In 2007 the globe had already been cooling for over half a decade in spite of the continued increase in CO₂ emissions from fossil fuels, so this statement from a scientific perspective is completely false, yet 212 accredited climate scientists signed their name to this declaration.

The integrity and credentials of all these 212 scientists is beyond question, and if honest well accredited scientists make a declaration, political leaders will accept this declaration as fact and act accordingly making policies supported by this declaration.

This is essentially the current reality of the climate change issue. All current government policies are referenced to the latest IPCC report which was produced in 2007 and confirmed by the Bali Declaration at the end of 2007.

Prior to the Bali Declaration no accredited scientist had actually signed their name to a document in support of the AGW hypothesis, and the AGW hypothesis was maintained strictly on the basis of a stated “scientific consensus” opinion. There is no way to effectively challenge a “scientific consensus” opinion because it is just a numbers game, and with the expert media manipulation of those promoting the AGW hypothesis, all challenges have to date been rebuffed.

The Bali Declaration represents the first and ultimate failure of the global warming propaganda machine, because for the first time individual scientists can be held accountable for signing this document.

Each of the 212 accredited scientists who signed the declaration is morally compelled by science protocol and ethics to renounce the Bali Declaration as being false, because the climate is unequivocally not warming and there is 100% certainty that neither the current cooling nor the previous observed warming were primarily caused by CO₂ emissions from fossil fuels.

It is the moral responsibility of scientists not to misrepresent facts in a way that will cause any misconceptions, and the Bali Declaration misrepresents facts in such a fashion that not only causes misconceptions, but has allowed political leaders to create policies based on these misconceptions, and these policies have had nothing but detrimental effects on the global population and global economy.

The only item on the agenda at the upcoming climate conference in Copenhagen should be the presentation by all of the 212 scientists who signed the Bali Declaration revoking their support for this false declaration.

This single act of integrity by these scientists will put an end to this chapter of scientific fraud that has lasted for over two decades.

Norm K
Calgary, Canada