

Memo 05/10

The IPCC's carcass

Will Alexander alexwjr@iafrica.com

Thursday 25 February 2010



Feasted vultures on an elephant carcass. Photo courtesy Lutz Ebrecht from his photo album.

Nobody could possibly have predicted the total and irretrievable collapse of the whole global warming issue in the short period from November through to February. In engineering terms there was a steadily growing instability. The greater the instability, the smaller the effort needed to precipitate its inevitable collapse.

It started in November with the Climategate e-mails where the scientists were accused of deliberately 'adjusting' global temperature data. This was denied. Then there was the UNFCCC conference at Copenhagen. This was a political failure. The developed nations of the West naively assumed that the developing nations could be persuaded to make sacrifices to ensure the continued economic prosperity of the developed nations. The failure was predictable.

By now the United Nations climate change system was already unstable. The vultures started circling. Then out of the blue came a totally unexpected sequence of events. The fraudulent

activities of the compilers of the IPCC's assessment reports were exposed. Glaciegate and Amazongate were shown to be corrupt machinations by the administrators. They were not based on refereed publications of conscientious scientists as was claimed.

I was one of the many scientists who over the years steadfastly maintained that there is no evidence to support the alarmist claims. In my case these were unsubstantiated claims of increases in floods, droughts, threats to water supplies and damage to the natural environment. We were told that hundreds of scientists disagreed with us.

Then came the fatal blow to climate change science. The senior scientist involved in the Climategate affair has just conceded that there has been no statistically significant increase in global temperature for the past 15 years!!!

MailOnline

Climategate U-turn as scientist at centre of row admits: There has been no global warming since 1995

By Jonathan Petre
Last updated at 5:12 PM on 14th February 2010

- Data for vital 'hockey stick graph' has gone missing
- There has been no global warming since 1995
- Warming periods have happened before - but NOT due to man-made changes



Data: Professor Phil Jones admitted his record keeping is 'not as good as it should be'

Now I know why I was unable to detect any changes in rainfall, river flow and threats to water supplies. It also explains why other scientists were unable to detect the other changes that are the core issues contained in the IPCC reports. There was no sustained global warming without which the alarmist theories have no substance.

The final blow came last week when the United Nations executive secretary on climate change, Ivo de Boer, announced his resignation. He was pessimistic about any future international agreements. The IPCC chairman is in disgrace. The climate change sceptics have had a feast. All that is left is a worthless UNFCCC/IPCC carcass.



There will no doubt be those who will continue to preach the climate change gospel, but their support will soon collapse as their fraudulent science continues to be exposed. It is interesting to note how they are already losing the support of the printed media and even more importantly, that of many conscientious scientists. It remains my hope that means will be found that will allow us to get together and restore some decency and respect for each other as well as for the image of science as an honourable profession.

My conclusions

I have expressed the following results of my studies on many occasions. I was subjected to vilification tactics at the time. I persisted. Now, at long last, my persistence has been rewarded.

The following information was printed in bold letters on the first page of my 93-page extended summary report to the Stern Review *An assessment of the likely consequences of global warming on the climate of South Africa* dated **November 2005**. It was ignored.

Continued global warming will NOT

- Pose a threat to water supplies
- Adversely affect agricultural production
- Increase the risk of floods and droughts
- Increase the spread of malaria
- Increase the eutrophication of water in dams
- Increase soil erosion
- Result in the loss of natural plant and animal species
- Result in desertification

There is no believable evidence to support these claims.

I rest my case!

Regards