

Lord Rees
President
The Royal Society
6-9 Carlton House Terrace
London SW1Y 5AG

18 July 2009.

Dear Lord Rees

To date at least, a grim summer from coast to coast across the North American continent has had its echo as well on this side of the Atlantic. Albeit hedged about with implausible and self-serving qualifications (“Heat gives rise to cold”, “Humans are psychologically not equipped to apprehend long term hazards” - profundities of that kind), in the last some days, a ten year stasis and cooling in world temperatures in the face of rising CO₂ concentrations has finally been conceded by that sink of scientific chicanery and malpractice, Realclimate.com. An equally inept and disreputable UK Met Office remains in stubborn but increasingly risible denial. Although it was foreseen by others (for example, unlike the politically inspired Nature, the scientifically rigorous journal, Energy & Environment) this trend, of course, was totally missed by the GCMs so beloved of global warming propagandists. In short, this seems an opportune moment for a brief review of what the RS persists in maintaining is authoritative climate change science. A few examples follow:

The Hockey Stick: Notwithstanding that this requires a denial of centuries of multi-stranded evidence, the RS has endorsed this central plank of the IPCC’s 3rd Assessment Report, since surreptitiously dropped without explanation from AR4. **And that you call science!**

Historical temperature fluctuations, the Medieval Optimum and Little Ice Age: Without suggesting any plausible mechanism to explain why, the RS continues to assert that these were regional effects. Furthermore, the assertion flies in the face of copious contra-indicative evidence. **And that you call science!**

Hadley data: Real world evidence that conflicts with its own hallucinations are avoided (eg satellite and weather balloon). Hadley continues to misrepresent even its own data. Its record of medium range forecasting is derisory (eg the much heralded “BBQ summer” of 2009). Forecasts by Dr. Piers Corbyn, using a minute fraction of the computing resources available to the Met Office but benefitting admittedly from a grossly underhand recourse to plausible physics, continue to be accurate, reliable and in flat contradiction to Hadley’s. **And that you call science!**

Dr. P. Jones: Notwithstanding time honoured requirements of scientific method for verification and replicability, this luminary of the Met Office continues to withhold from independent scrutiny his data and his computational algorithms on the grounds that potential investigators are interested only in undermining him. **And that you call science!**

Peer review: Prominent AGW protagonists will be “peer reviewed” only by their friends and collaborators. **And that you call science.**

Recent global climate temperature. Almost all relevant data sets show that mean global temperature has fallen over the last decade. The Southern Hemisphere started to cool about 20 years ago and that cooling spread to include the Northern Hemisphere about 10 years ago. The last decade has exhibited global cooling - not global warming - while atmospheric concentration of CO₂ and anthropogenic emissions of thereof both increased, the former by about 5%. But the RS asserts that the human induced emissions cause atmospheric concentration to rise which, in turn, causes the globe to warm. **And that you call science!**

Temperature recording: During the course of the last ten decades or so, it is now clear that numbers of land based thermometers have fallen from roughly 6000 to barely a third of that total, that probably two thirds of those left are atrociously situated, and that they diverge significantly from satellite/radiosond readings. Yet, it is to supposed and fanciful data from these alone that the Met Office has recourse. **And that you call science!**

GISS and Dr. James Hansen: A reluctant but forced recasting of the 20th century temperature record of the United States results in major revisions of a contra-indicative character. The RS says nothing. **And that you call science!**

Dr Eric Steig and Antarctic temperatures: Dr Steig collaborated with Dr. Michael Mann (an exposed arrant knave) and others in an attempt to fabricate a warming temperature trend for the Antarctic continent. The attempt was so inept and so dishonest that even his/their own co-religionists disavowed it. From the RS what? To be sure, nothing - well, need it be said? **And that you call science!**

Sea level rise: Contrary to the unsubstantiated assertions of two totally unqualified propagandists, namely Messrs. Gore and the oracle of Clarence House, there is no evidence in recent years of any change to the general rate of sea level rise that has prevailed for millennia - well, actually and inconveniently for the RS as well as for Messrs. Gore and Windsor, other in fact than a small decline in the rate! Nor is there any corresponding evidence of sea level rise with respect to

contrived iconic locations, such as the Maldives and Tuvalu. In fact, evidence from satellites and from tidal buoys in both instances once again discloses, if anything at all, a modest decline. In parallel, the government of Bangladesh has confirmed an increase in that country's land surface area. But, arguably, sea level rise remains the most importantly emotive item on the catastrophist menu endorsed by the RS. **And that you call science!**

Scientific debate: Notwithstanding that debate is of the very essence of scientific method, the RS (NB under your stewardship and that of your immediate predecessors) has done everything in its power to stifle dialogue with regard to the very subject that it states represents a unique hazard to the planet and to Homo sapiens. **And that you call science!**

Schools: In the meantime, under government auspices (admittedly aided and abetted by disreputable opposition politicians), child abuse on a daily basis is promoted up and down the country, as schoolchildren are psychologically damaged, and reportedly from time to time traumatised, by catastrophist brainwashing with not a scintilla of real world evidence to support its underlying tenets. It is notable also that qualifying caveats ordered by the High Court to be promulgated by schools screening Mr. Gore's fantasy are not available for inspection, even in response to Freedom of Information Act requests. **And that you call science!**

Science education: In the meantime and in parallel, school test results favour not the systematic study of the sciences but rather complete scientific illiteracy borne of the AGW fraud. For politicians, of course, this will have the convenient outcome of ensuring that another generation enters the adult world unempowered to pose inconvenient questions. **And that you call science!**

On 23 April 2007 I responded to your letter of 20 April. Amongst other things, I suggested that:

An important cause is at stake here, and it is not global warming. It is nothing less than the truth allied to the integrity of the scientific endeavour. It may surprise you to learn that there are people in the world outside of science, as well as inside (pray God!), who consider that to be quite important.

That last sentence was true then, and it is true now. The RS's much touted "consensus" (in science, a conceptual obscenity anyway) is being challenged and routed by, if you will, a counter-consensus of reputable scientists worldwide, who are now speaking out in their hundreds, their thousands, their tens of thousands. For its part, I have no doubt that the RS will continue to act out its role delivering to its political paymasters the answers they demand. In this, its chicanery will also continue to be complemented by another corrupt institution controlled by a discredited administration. As no more than a statist mouthpiece in daily breach of its own statutorily imposed Editorial Standards, I refer naturally to the now widely execrated BBC.

As in many others, the English language is replete with terms, which can be deployed to designate those who exchange integrity for lucre. I leave it to you to apply as many as you consider appropriate to The Royal Society and its fellow lapdog at Broadcasting House. In respect of either, there should be few omissions. With the honourable exception of about fifty names, the ethical standards of the present administration and opposition party members have already been fully exposed. So, in their case, few diminishes, does it not, to none?

Finally, allow me to conclude with a quotation from one of your predecessors as President of The Royal Society:

'It will, without doubt, have come to your Lordship's knowledge that a considerable change of climate, inexplicable at present to us, must have taken place in the Circumpolar Regions, by which the severity of the cold that has for centuries past enclosed the seas in the high northern latitudes in an impenetrable barrier of ice, has been during the last two years greatly abated. This affords ample proof that new sources of warmth have been opened, and give us leave to hope that the Arctic Seas may at this time be more accessible than they have been for centuries past, and that discoveries may now be made in them, not only interesting to the advancement of science, but also to the future intercourse of mankind and the commerce of distant nations.'

The statement was made on 20 November 1817 to their Lordships of the Admiralty.

Yours sincerely

R.C.E. Wyndham

Cc: Prime Minister Ed Miliband MP David Cameron MP Nick Clegg MP Julia Goldsworthy MP Lord Monckton
Lord Lawson Lord Leach Mark Thompson Sir Michael Lyons Editors - national newspapers As the spirit moves