

Fax Transmission:

To: All U.S. Senators
& Representatives

From: Bob Ashworth

Date: July 14, 2008

Time: 9:34 AM


THIS FAX COMPRISES 2 PAGES (Including this page)

If not received complete and legible, please call (281) 343-5561 to arrange re-transmission.

Gentlemen/Ladies:

The Great CO₂ Global Warming Hoax

The claim by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) that carbon dioxide is causing global warming is bogus science based on models developed around 2000 (the old garbage in-garbage out scenario). See the graph developed from actual data by meteorologist Joseph D'Aleo; even a non-scientist can see there is absolutely no correlation between the concentration of atmospheric CO₂ and the earth's temperature.



CO₂ is not a pollutant, it is part of the animal-plant life cycle, without it, plant life would not exist on earth. Further, increased carbon dioxide in the atmosphere increases plant growth, which is a very good thing. Further, the amount of anthropogenic carbon dioxide in the atmosphere that was emitted by man is around 3% of the total. In 2008, the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere was 385 ppmv (parts per million by volume). Therefore, man's total anthropogenic contribution is only 12 ppmv, a miniscule amount and if man produced no CO₂ at all, the amount in the atmosphere would only drop back to the level we had in 2002-2003.


I am an old chemical engineer who has analyzed data most of my working career. I found that the recent abnormal warming we had from 1966 to 1998 was clearly not from CO₂, but from CFC destruction of stratospheric ozone. The loss of ozone reduced UV light absorption (it cooled some 1.4 °C), allowing more UV light to pass through and hit earth to warm it up some 0.5 °C. Currently it is being reviewed for publishing; see <http://omsriram.com/GlobalWarming.htm> for a complete analysis and references.

A carbon tax will destroy the economies of the nations of the world. CO₂ causing global warming is a hoax so that a few, at the expense of the many, can make money from carbon taxes. I urge you to put a stop to all proposed carbon tax regulations. Attached are two IPCC expert reviewer analyses. They came to the same conclusion that I and some 31,000 other scientists did, including Dr. Edward Teller before he died, that global CO₂ causing global warming is bogus. This compares to the 2500 or less in the IPCC who support it. **Congress must investigate this cruel hoax that would greatly increase our energy costs, doing absolutely nothing except reducing plant growth rates (a bad thing with an ever increasing global population)!**

Kindest Regards,

Bob

31,072 American scientists have signed this petition, including 9,021 with PhDs

<p style="text-align: center;">Petition</p> <p>We urge the United States government to reject the global warming agreement that was written in Kyoto, Japan in December, 1997, and any other similar proposals. The proposed limits on greenhouse gases would harm the environment, hinder the advance of science and technology, and damage the health and welfare of mankind.</p> <p>There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing or will, in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth's atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate. Moreover, there is substantial scientific evidence that increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide produce many beneficial effects upon the natural plant and animal environments of the Earth.</p> <p> Please sign here</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please send more petition cards for me to distribute.</p> <p>My academic degree is B.S. <input type="checkbox"/> M.S. <input type="checkbox"/> Ph.D. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in the field of <u>PHYSICS</u></p>
--

Anthropogenic Global Warming (AGW) Hypothesis Disproved (excerpts)

June 23, 2008

The AGW-hypothesis asserts that increased greenhouse gases (GHGs) – notably carbon dioxide – in the atmosphere will cause the globe to warm (global warming: GW) and that anthropogenic emissions of carbon dioxide are increasing the carbon dioxide in the air with resulting anthropogenic (i.e. man-made) global warming (AGW). I think a clear distinction needs to be made between:

- (a) the science of AGW, and
- (b) the perception of AGW - and the use of AGW - by non-scientists.

The present empirical evidence strongly indicates that the AGW-hypothesis is wrong: Nothing the hypothesis predicts is observed in the empirical (actual measurements) data and is the opposite of the hypotheses. However, politicians and advocates adhere to the hypothesis. They have a variety of motives (i.e. personal financial gain, protection of their career histories and futures, political opportunism, etc.). In addition, those advocates are not scientists despite some of them claiming that they are.

Richard S. Courtney DipPhil
IPCC Expert Reviewer, Energy, and Environmental Consultant
United Kingdom

Spinning the Climate (excerpts)

July 11, 2008

I have been an "Expert Reviewer" for The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) since its first major Report in 1990. The IPCC is claimed by some to have provided evidence that the earth's climate is harmed by changes in the atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases. These claims are false. Dubious observations and some genuine science has been distorted and "spun" to support a global campaign to limit human emissions of certain greenhouse gases which has no scientific basis. I consider that the IPCC is fundamentally corrupt. The only "reform" I could envisage, would be its abolition!

Vincent Gray
IPCC Expert Reviewer
New Zealand