

# The Battle Against High Humidity and High Cloud Cover



Image source:

<http://www.wallpapers13.com/sahara-desert-morocco-berber-sunset-camel-sand-2560x1600/>

by Carl Brehmer  
July 2018

On-line at [http://tech-know-group.com/essays/Battle\\_Against\\_High\\_Humidity\\_and\\_High\\_Cloud\\_Cover.pdf.pdf](http://tech-know-group.com/essays/Battle_Against_High_Humidity_and_High_Cloud_Cover.pdf.pdf)

## The Battle Against High Humidity and High Cloud Cover

The concern that people have for rising carbon dioxide levels is based on an assertion being made by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) that rising carbon dioxide levels would result in the atmosphere becoming more humid, which would increase cloud cover. Humidity is supposedly responsible for 50% and cloud cover for 25% of a mythical “greenhouse effect.” Thus, if humidity and cloud cover increase so will the “greenhouse effect,” which would, in turn, drive global surface-level air temperatures higher. This is the falsified “positive water vapor feedback” hypothesis that is programmed into all of the climate computer models that are prophesying catastrophic anthropogenic global warming/climate change. Keep in mind that these prophecies of human caused climate doom are **not** based on what carbon dioxide or any other “non-compressible greenhouse gas”<sup>1</sup> might do on its own. Rather they are based solely on what the IPCC says higher levels of atmospheric water in the form of humidity and cloud cover will do. **All of them.** Without “positive water vapor feedback” no climate computer model would be able to generate catastrophic anthropogenic global warming scenarios.

The idea that higher levels of atmospheric water in the form of high humidity and high cloud cover would or could create an environmental catastrophe is out of sync with the common experience of everyone who lives on planet Earth. Who has not witnessed that the Earth’s water cycle, which includes atmospheric water in the form of humidity and cloud cover, brings life to the Earth's biosphere and its various ecosystems? Who doesn’t know that the life that is present in arid, desert regions struggles to survive while those regions that have high humidity and high cloud cover are bursting with vibrantly green plant life and teeming with all sorts of animal life? Beyond that, even with cursory reflection people concede that the Earth’s water cycle also drops surface level air temperatures; it does not increase them as the IPCC would have you believe.

One does not have to be a “climate scientist” to witness the life-giving power of the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule especially in the form of high humidity and high cloud cover. For the Earth’s biosphere and its various ecosystems there is no such thing as **too much** atmospheric water. If the IPCC were correct in its assertion then the area of the world that would be the most devastated by high atmospheric water content would be Mawsynram, Meghalaya, India, (Meghalaya = “land of the clouds”) because it is drenched with 467 inches of rain per annum. Here is a photo of Mawsynram, Meghalaya, India:



Image – [Flickr/Santanu Sen](#)

Look at the photo. Do you see manifest in this photo any of the horrible things that the IPCC says will happen to the natural environment and to humanity should the atmosphere's water content become too high? Is it causing a heat wave? Is it causing a decrease in precipitation? Is it reducing the resilience of the local ecosystem? Is it putting at risk for extinction 20-30% of plant and animal species? Is it in anyway negatively impacting the water supply or the food supply? Is it decreasing crop productivity and thus exacerbating hunger? Is it especially bad for impoverished people? Is it causing malnutrition, increasing diarrhea diseases, increasing the frequency of cardio-respiratory failure? Does it spread infectious diseases? Is it negatively affecting education, health care, and public health initiatives? Is it negatively impacting infrastructure and economic development? For the human beings living there that much rain might very well be a nuisance, but the regional ecosystem loves it and thrives on it.

Nevertheless, these are all things that the IPCC has prophesized will happen in its Fifth Assessment Report<sup>2</sup> unless humanity works together to keep the level of atmospheric water—humidity and cloud cover—down.



<https://pixers.us/wall-murals/lake-mandara-desert-oasis-sahara-libya-31551585>

Wikimedia commons File:Sahara.jpg

Now contrast Mawsynram, Meghalaya, India with these photos of the Sahara Desert that lies roughly along the same latitude where both the humidity and cloud cover are extremely low. Most of the sparse life that does exist in the Sahara Desert is huddled around pools of water that well up from the ground.

What does the IPCC say humanity must do to limit the amount of water that there is in the atmosphere? We must severely restrict our use of hydrocarbon energy because burning hydrocarbons for energy releases carbon dioxide into the atmosphere and according to them that “anthropogenic” carbon dioxide is a “control knob” that determines how much deadly water vapor and how much deadly cloud cover there is in the atmosphere! Ergo, in order to save the planet and to save humanity from rising levels of deadly humidity and deadly cloud cover we must severely restrict our use of hydrocarbon energy even though doing so would devastate the global economy, which would in turn result in the starvation deaths of hundreds of millions of people who rely on food that is grown, processed, transported and distributed via the energy produced when hydrocarbons are burned!

Needless to say, the IPCC is not composed of food growers, food processors, food transporters or food distributors. They therefore have no clue on how to or what it takes to feed 7 billion people worldwide.



Wikimedia commons File:John Deere cotton harvester kv02.jpg



<http://www.bourgault.com/>

This is how modern farming is currently being done. It is being done with hydrocarbon powered machinery because there are no solar or wind powered harvesters or tractors.



Photograph by Marion Post Wolcott [loc.gov/pictures/item/fsa2000032878/PP](http://loc.gov/pictures/item/fsa2000032878/PP)



Wikimedia Commons File:04-09-12-Schaupflügen-Fahrenwalde-RalfR-IMG 1232.jpg

This is how farming was done before the industrial revolution, a revolution that was fueled by hydrocarbon energy, and this is what the IPCC would have humanity return to should it succeed in either persuading or forcing the world to abandon the burning of hydrocarbons for energy. Why? Because harvesters and tractors burn hydrocarbons for energy and that produces carbon dioxide as a byproduct and they insist that increasing the amount of carbon dioxide in the air will increase the amount of deadly humidity and deadly cloud cover that there is in the air. What the IPCC doesn't address though is who is going to pick the cotton and plow the fields once they succeed in banning hydrocarbon powered farm implements in order to keep global levels of humidity and cloud cover down?

1 <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/330/6002/356>

2 <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/>