

“Climate Change” = High Humidity

“No challenge--no challenge--poses a greater threat to future generations than [high humidity].” [President Obama, State of the Union address 2015](#)

“A catastrophe caused by [high humidity] is seen as the biggest potential threat to the global economy in 2016.” [The Guardian - Jan 14, 2016](#)

“[high humidity] is one of the greatest health threats facing humanity in the 21st century.” [Physicians For Social Responsibility](#)

“If present trends continue, this century may well witness extraordinary [high humidity] and an unprecedented destruction of ecosystems, with serious consequences for all of us.” [Pope Francis](#)

“[high humidity] hits the poorest people the hardest, those living in vulnerable areas with the fewest resources to help them adapt or recover quickly from shocks. As the effects [high humidity] worsen, escaping poverty becomes more difficult.”

In these quotes I substituted the words “high humidity” for the words “climate change” in the original quotes because high humidity is the change in the climate that they fear and want us to fear. Here is the hypothesis that they are working off of:

- 1) **Burning hydrocarbons** for energy releases carbon dioxide (CO₂) into the air
- 2) A doubling of atmospheric CO₂ is predicted to cause an approximate 1 °C increase in the “annual, mean, global, surface-level air temperature”. Since no one thinks that a 1 °C increase in the global temperature would be a problem what they actually fear is “positive water vapor feedback”. Thus:
- 3) If carbon dioxide were to increase in the “annual, mean, global, surface-level air temperature” by 1 °C this would cause more water to be evaporated into water vapor and **increase the planet’s absolute humidity**.
- 4) This increase in planetary humidity is projected by computer models to cause an additional 2-4 °C increase in the “annual, mean, global, surface-level air temperature”. When you combine the hypothetical effect the doubling of CO₂ with the hypothetical effect of increasing the global humidity you get an additional 2-5 °C of hypothetical global warming.
- 5) Some people theorize that a 2-5 °C increase in the “annual, mean, global, surface-level air temperature” would be **catastrophic** causing the extinction of >90% of the species of life currently living on the Earth.
- 6) In order to stop this mass extinction, human beings have to stop doing #1—burning hydrocarbons for energy—because this will limit the amount of humidity present in the atmosphere and save the biosphere from ruin.

Looking only at the highlighted words let's simplify this chain of logic. **Continuing to burn hydrocarbons for energy will increase the planet's absolute humidity and that would be catastrophic.** Thus all 21 of the international COP conferences, all of the proposed international treaties to control "greenhouse gas" emissions, including the current [Paris Agreement](#) that would decimate industrial civilization, and all of the global carbon taxation schemes have a singular intention—to control the amount of humidity that there is in the air because someone is telling world leaders that the biosphere cannot tolerate high humidity.



What do you think? Can the biosphere tolerate high humidity? Would an increase in the Earth's absolute humidity be "catastrophic"? Remember, it is not the hypothetical 1 °C global warming that a doubling of carbon dioxide levels alone might cause we are to fear, rather we are to fear the increase in global humidity that a little global warming would cause.

The fact is, the real-world change that water vapor makes within a climate system is to cause life within the local biosphere to explode. How insular must one's view of the biosphere be if one does not know that the number and diversity of species within a climate system is directly proportional to the amount of humidity that there is in the air? How brainwashed must one be to support proposed international agreements and global carbon taxation schemes whose intent is to limit the amount of humidity that there is in the atmosphere and thereby prevent the expansion of rainforests and preserve the integrity of deserts?

It is true what they say; the most potent "greenhouse gas"—water vapor—does change the climate profoundly. It changes it from a desert to a forest. It has a veritable "greenhouse effect" in that it promotes the growth of lush greenery even as does an actual greenhouse. Where water vapor is scarce, where humidity is low, life is scarce and there is no greenery.

Why? Because besides sunshine and carbon dioxide, water is necessary for photosynthesis to happen. When and where there is an absence of photosynthesis there is an absence of biological life. Of course, you already know this because this is what we were taught in elementary school. Today elementary school children are being taught to fear “high humidity”. *“Elementary school teachers can incorporate **[the danger of high humidity]** lesson plans into their science curriculum in a number of ways.”* [Concordia University](#)

Here is what you do. Whenever you hear the phrase “climate change” think “high humidity” because that is the change in the climate that they want you to fear. Do you fear “high humidity”? I don’t. I welcome it because I know that when the humidity goes up lush, green vegetation is soon to follow.

Carl Brehmer
8 October 2016