

## **Man-Made Climate Change - Naomi Klein exposes the Truth August 2015**

[Papal Advisor Naomi Klein Admits in Her Screed that 'Global Warming' is All About Anti-Capitalist Polemics – Nothing to Do With Science](#)

**Klein admits progressive policies on the environment are really about what Marx and Lenin said the communist revolution desired 100 years ago – the *overthrow* of capitalism. This is not about science, or health, at all. "Our economic model is at war with the Earth," writes Klein. "We cannot change the laws of nature. But we can change our economy. Climate change is our best chance to demand and build a better world."**

Could the message be any clearer? **"This [man-made climate change] is not about science, or health, at all."**

Please note that Klein uses the ambiguous term "climate change" when she really means "man-made climate change"; it's a classic case of misleading the public at large that any change in the climate is the fault of human emissions of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>).

Here's further insight into Naomi Klein's world via Martin Hertzberg's review of Naomi Klein's book **"This Changes Everything"**:

It is tragic that what should have been a debate among objective scientists evaluating the data on weather and climate, has degenerated into a partisan political diatribe. Unfortunately, Klein's most recent book only adds to the tragedy. In pursuit of her political agenda, facts are distorted and distinguished scientists are denigrated. Here are some examples.

Klein states: "Carbon Dioxide stays in the atmosphere one to two centuries with some of it remaining for a millennium or more".

Some 50 published papers give at most 5 years for its lifetime in the atmosphere with the best estimate from C-14 decay observed after Russian above ground tests.

Klein states that the "Medieval Warm Period was thoroughly debunked long ago".

Not true! Hundreds of studies have established the existence of the world wide Medieval Warm period with temperatures exceeding current ones at a time when human CO<sub>2</sub> emission was nil. Her discussion of the weather effect of volcanic eruptions neglects to mention the real big ones: Tambora and Krakatoa.

Klein completely discounts the "climategate" scandal.

Not true! Climategate reveals a disgraceful lack of scientific integrity on the part of the climate change advocates. For all the relevant data go to my lecture at [www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPTiTFMhZrg](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPTiTFMhZrg). For factual data rather than her fear mongering cherry picking, go to [www.climate4you.com](http://www.climate4you.com) and see for yourself. There is nothing unusual happening with temperatures, ice area coverage, sea level rise, or snow cover: just the normal variability in weather related parameters.

To her credit, Klein actually listened to talks by skeptics at a Heartland Conference, but instead of trying to learn from the distinguished scientist's presentations, she denigrates them.

Thus, for example, she refers to "the denial movement being littered with characters like the old time physicist... S. Fred Singer".

Instead of noting his achievements as Deputy Secretary of the EPA, his establishment of the Weather Bureau's Satellite Service and his work in Space Research and Atmospheric Physics and in designing the first Earth Observation Satellite for meteorological observations, she refers to him as a "character" and limits his work to "rocket technology for the U. S. military".

As an aside, I first met Fred over 50 years ago and indeed he just celebrated his 90<sup>th</sup> birthday. He left Austria just ahead of the Nazi takeover and really resents being labelled as a "denier" as though he were a holocaust denier. I lost many relatives in the holocaust and also find that denier label to be particularly obnoxious. She also completely fails to mention the series of articles in the Nation by Alexander Cockburn that preceded her work. Cockburn completely discredited the fear-mongering hysteria of the global warmers.

The global warming/climate change theory postulates that human emission of CO<sub>2</sub> from fossil fuel combustion is causing an increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and a concomitant increase in global temperatures and climate disruptions via the greenhouse effect.

Klein accepts that paradigm without question.

But if you read her book carefully you realize that she doesn't really care much about its validity. To quote: "lowering emissions is just one example of how the climate emergency could - by virtue of its urgency and that the fact that it impacts virtually everyone on earth - breathe new life into a political goal... (such as) raising taxes on the rich, blocking harmful trade deals, to reinvesting in the public sphere...."

"Even more importantly, the climate moment offers an overarching narrative in which everything from the fight for good jobs to justice for immigrants, reparations for the injustice of slavery... all becomes a grand project of building a non-toxic shock-proof economy before it is too late". So there it is: the science is not that important. What really matters is the social justice we can get from it.

She learned well from her "Shock Doctrine" book. Just as the world's international bankers took advantage of the debt crises in smaller countries to dismantle their social justice and welfare economies, so does she propose to use the climate change "crisis" to achieve social justice. I agree with most of her social justice goals, but as a scientist, I cannot abide by getting there with a fear-mongering fraud.

So here are the simplest arguments to counter the climate change paradigm. Draconian measures to control CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are based on three fictions:

First, that it is a "greenhouse gas". Neither the EPA nor anyone else has ever provided a scientifically valid definition of such an entity. The atmosphere is not the confining top of a greenhouse, nor can it "retain heat". Instead it cools the earth by natural convection and radiation to free space.

Secondly, at only 0.04 percent of gases in the atmosphere, CO<sub>2</sub> is supposed to control the earth's temperature and climate. That is an absurdity and there is not one iota of reliable evidence that it does.

Thirdly, the paradigm postulates that human emission determines atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. The data is overwhelming that natural sources and sinks such as ocean emission and absorption, photosynthesis, vegetative decay, volcanic eruptions, forest fires, carbonate rock weathering and many other processes overwhelm human emission. Human emissions are trivial in comparison and they dissolve rapidly into the cold oceans.

The "climate justice" movement, by conflating the justified desire to advance social justice with the phoney theory of man-made climate change, will only serve to discredit social justice as the truth about climate change finally emerges.

Extreme environmental solutions can have unintended consequence (blowback).

The work of Rachel Carson, Klein's heroine, resulted in the world-wide ban on the use of DDT. The result was about 100,000 more annual fatalities of children in Africa as a result of malaria. That malaria epidemic subsided several years later as soon as DDT use was resumed.

Challenge yourself to realize what harmful unintended consequences would result from the measures to eliminate the use of fossil fuels. The reality is that such a measure will have no effect on weather or climate.

---